# ORGANIZATIONAL AND COMMERCIAL TOOLS OF SUPERVISION AND DEVELOPMENT OF COMPETITIVENESS OF EDUCATION

<sup>a</sup>NADIYA APSHAY, <sup>b</sup>IRYNA SHERSTNEVA, <sup>c</sup>MARIIA APSHAI, <sup>d</sup>KATERINA STEPANIUK

<sup>a.c.</sup>Uzhhorod Institute of Culture and Arts, 38/80, Minayska Str., 88009, Uzhhorod, Ukraine

<sup>b,d</sup>Berdyansk State Pedagogical University, 4, Schmidta Str., 71100, Berdiansk, Ukraine

email: "nadiyaapshay@gmail.com, bsherr1964@ukr.net, mapshay@gmail.com, katerinastepanyk@gmail.com

Abstract: In this study, the authors consider organizational and commercial tools to establish the institution's competitiveness and increase their educational suggestions and services in the labor market. In the education system, useful and efficient market instruments are needed to guarantee and increase competitiveness — the results of the study attempt to orient the entire education system for sustainable functioning and integrated development. New directions and conditions of social development require the most cardinal change of the policy, intentions, plans, approaches to education, and therefore the management of the education area as a whole and the higher education system in particular.

Keywords: Commercial tools, Competitiveness, Education system, Labor market, Organizational tools.

#### 1 Introduction

The globalization of socio-economic processes, the mobility of capital and labor, scientific and technological progress, the informatization of public relations have led to fundamental changes in the modern economy [1]. The need for an adequate response to changes in all factors of the internal and external environment of universities, adaptation to new conditions of the labor market and the market for educational services, the transition to a two-level education system and its implementation in real practice required the use of modern management methods and tools from the education sector. Today's service is necessary primarily to ensure competitiveness and the search for new competitive advantages of the university [2, 6]. The latest scientific publications on the issues of managing the competitiveness of universities do not practically take into account the main features of the functioning of universities in the context of ongoing educational reforms. Therefore, it is essential to develop and improve theoretical principles and methodological approaches (including tools) to create and implement a strategy for the development of competitiveness in the field of education.

To ensure and develop the university's competitiveness and consolidate its position in the educational services market and the labor market, the education system needs to have useful market-based tools to increase competitiveness, aiming the entire education system towards sustainable functioning and integrated development. In this case, applying the borrowing of similar tools to ensure competitiveness from other service sectors or foreign experience is not entirely acceptable since special conditions for the education system's functioning are not taken into account [3, 4, 5].

It should be noted that the capabilities and potential of the tools used in the modern educational process are not fully explored and used since this requires a detailed analysis and assessment of the education system in the economy, assessment of the functional and integrated content of the entire sphere of educational services and, additionally, an analysis of the possibility of applying skills from other areas of the economy and adapting to market relations between all subjects of the educational process such market instruments that have already fully proved their effectiveness in the holistic development of competitiveness (but in other types of economic activity) [7].

#### 2 Literature Review

The origin of theoretical studies on competition appeared in the writings of the leading classics of economic theory in the middle of the eighteenth century.

In work "Research on the nature and cause of the wealth of peoples", A. Smith cited a generalization of competition theory. Smith's view of competition was new in the following positions:

- The concept of competition as a struggle, a rivalry was first formulated, which increases prices while reducing the supply of services and products and reduces prices with an excess of the supply of these goods;
- The main principle of competition was formulated the principle of the "invisible hand of the market", according to which market entities act following some "plan for the development of the economy", reasonably crowding out enterprises engaged in the production of products and services, not in demand on the market. The "invisible hand of the market" creates a spontaneous order, thanks to which a market economy resists chaos and disorganization and forces the market to develop in the direction necessary for society;
- A competition management mechanism was developed, according to which the sectoral rate of return is balanced, which leads to an optimal distribution of resources between sectors and fields of activity. The competition management mechanism's essence is that with a decrease in demand for goods or services, those enterprises that produce unnecessarily expensive or low-quality products and services experience the greatest difficulties. The mechanism of the competition management process is manifested in its instantaneous response to all changes in the situation in the external market environment;
- The primary conditions for perfect, monopolistic, oligopolistic competition, monopoly, and monopsony were determined, including the number of sellers and buyers, comprehensive information about the market, mobility and applicability of the resources used, the inability of a single market entity to significantly affect the market price of goods and services (while maintaining its quantity, quality or quality of the service provided);
- A model of monopolistic competition was developed, where it was proved that in the conditions of real market relations, it is possible to fully satisfy all the needs of consumers and make optimal use of economic resources on a societal scale [23, 24].

The representative of the British classical political economy Ricardo (1772 - 1823) in his Principles of Political Economy and Taxation supplemented A. Smith's ideas on price regulation of the market using competition tools: "prices are formed only under the influence of supply and demand through competition" [16]. The idea of pure competition proposed by Ricardo made it possible to determine the level of "natural" prices in the long-term market equilibrium, as well as the principles of market "self-regulation" that contribute to the development of capitalism [11, 12, 24].

Another representative of the classical school of economics, John Stuart Mill (1806 - 1873), also contributed to the development of a theoretical model of pure competition. He singled out non-competing groups in the market, derived the equation of international demand and a triple classification of price elasticity of demand, defined the concepts of savings on opportunity costs and economies of scale. In his opinion, competition is the only condition for the formation of market prices, rents, and wages. Besides this, he substantiated that competition in itself is an economic law that establishes specific rules for market equilibrium [11].

Thus, the theory of competition developed by the classics of political economy was one of the first fundamental scientific developments devoted to the concepts and basic principles of competition in society's development. This theory's main drawback is a relatively narrow focus on the definition and role of competition as "competition" and "competition" for the sake of additional profit. The formulated model of perfect (pure) competition is determined only by price factors of competitive relations, which cannot fully allow judging the specific nature of competition [7, 8, 9, 10].

In addition to Smith, such well-known economists as Mill [11], Ricardo [16], Robinson [17], Schumpeter [19], Keynes [7], Heine [6] made a significant contribution to developing the theory of competition as Hayek [5], Porter [13, 14, 15], McConnell [10]. Benchmarking in education was considered in the works of Semenyuk [20], Finikov [3, 4], Savelyev [18] and others.

#### 3 Materials and Methods

Having studied sources studying the instruments of management and development of the competitiveness of universities, the authors propose their classification of the instruments of competitiveness of the development and management of universities and the HPE system as a whole:

- 1. Administrative (direct);
- 2. Regulatory;
- 3. Economic (indirect);
- 4. Investment;
- 5. Integration;
- 6. Social;
- 7. Indicative planning tools;
- 8. Programming tools;
- Innovative tools;
- 10. Rationing tools;
- 11. Monitoring.

The above tools determine the value of the competitiveness of the field of educational services as continually developing and expanding in an integrated educational space, the criteria for the development of universities taking into account world standards [8, 10, 12, 13], and primarily the provision and organization based on the combined use of modern educational technologies and market-related functioning tools.

## 4 Results

The main provisions of the concept of competitiveness of the education system (systemic competitiveness) are as follows:

- Competitiveness is the basis for the development of the whole society and is defined not as a list of individual economic entities but as a holistic organism with its economic agents (state, infrastructural, social and cultural institutions, public organizations) [9];
- For the functioning and competitiveness of any economic system (including the education system) should consider the influence of socio-economic factors for the development of a state. Such systems are successfully developing that concentrate their (and national) efforts on developing individual forms or groups of organizations (clusters). They can be included in the competition on a global scale, based on their integration advantages [11];
- Competitive positions of universities and the education system as a whole can only be developed through the application and use of modern educational technologies, scientific discoveries and developments, and the modernization of the entire education system [15].

The following types of competitive advantages of universities are distinguished:

 The advantages of the lower, first order are cheap material resources, educational equipment, constructions and

- buildings, small financial resources (low prices for education), low level of staff qualification, and wages.
- The advantages of a high, second-order are unique educational technology, highly qualified personnel, powerful resources, brand, image, and communications.

If a university has first-rate competitive advantages, then it can use cheap resources in educational activities. It will allow it to sell education services at lower prices than other competitors. Thanks to this, it can defeat competitors in the fight for potential applicants. As a rule, commercial universities use this. But these advantages have their drawbacks, the main of which is the low level of educational services provided.

Second-order competitive advantages are more applicable, allowing students to obtain unique knowledge in demand on the market. Using these advantages, universities can successfully offer their educational services not because they are cheaper than competitors, but because they more closely meet consumers' requirements in the labor markets and educational services.

The regulatory and legal instruments that regulate universities' activities include the following legislative and other rules of law.

Considering administrative tools, it should be noted that the main role here is played by the organizational and staff structure of the university and the empowerment of management of its functional structures [11, 12, 13].

In the study of management tools and the development of competitiveness, the author dwells in detail on economic tools, so they primarily determine the university's competitiveness [14, 15].

Given the availability and variety of scientific approaches, the economic instruments for managing competitiveness are not fully systematized. They do not fully consider the specific and general features of higher education. In this regard, the authors propose a classification of economic instruments for managing the competitiveness of the higher education system.

All the authors' competitiveness management tools are well known and widely used in various sectors of the economy, including education [16, 17, 18].

### 5 Discussion

In current conditions, universities should focus on the use of innovative forms, methods, and tools for managing their activities, including competitiveness and the educational process [14, 19, 20]. It is known from world practice that only innovative universities can meet modern trends and requirements of the global educational system and ensure in their development the achievement of the required level of competitiveness.

# 5.1 Tool Name. Basic Concepts. Terms of Use

Direct costing. Fixed and overhead costs are not included in the total cost of services but are directly attributed to the profit and loss account in the reporting period in which they are formed. Fixed and variable costs are separately taken into account. Competitiveness is determined by the ratio: price-quality.

Absorption-costing. The full cost of services includes all costs. It allows you to most accurately assess the number of costs included in the cost of services.

Standard costing. For each type of cost (educational, material, etc.), rationing is applied per unit of product Availability of a system of norms and standards.

The ABC Method. An organization's activity is divided into processes or operations. The total cost is determined based on all operations and processes' total costs — expansion and detailing of the accounting system used.

Target costing. Based on the accepted cost of educational services, a target cost is established, provided by all university

departments. Organization for monitoring the level and volume of costs. Marketing forecasts and market positioning.

*Analysis.* Costs are determined for the provision of specific services, used in strategic management Marketing research of the labor market, and the university's positioning on it.

The CSS Method. It defines the entire sequence of creating the cost of services. They are used in strategic management and assumes the strategic positioning of the university and market conditions.

Planning a competitiveness strategy for an educational institution allows you to consistently and continuously determine the university's quality, which is the source of its key advantages - this is strategic benchmarking [19, 20]. Also, it allows you to identify those aspects of the activity that require immediate effective transformation. Benchmarking demonstrates the directions of development, improvement, and adjustment of the university to increase its competitiveness. It is continuous systematization, improvement of activity methods that laid the foundation for benchmarking [21, 22].

In theory and practice of benchmarking, there are a large number of its types. The university's choice of a certain type of benchmarking is determined by the goals, requirements of the internal and external environment, the university's needs, available development potential, and resources, as well as the ability to use new technologies and introduce them into the organization's strategic management mechanism, which ensures its market competitiveness [23, 24].

The use of benchmarking tools in the competitiveness management mechanism of the educational organization allows improving the efficiency and quality of the educational process, introducing advanced experience in organizing activities at the university, introducing a new concept for conducting educational process classes, analyzing and evaluating the professionalism of scientific personnel, which ultimately leads to continuous sustainable development educational institution and the achievement of the required level of competitiveness with a given quality of educational services [24, 26]. The effectiveness of using benchmarking depends on the choice of the object of research and improvement, determining the position of the reference company and the usefulness of its educational experience for the recipient university understudy, developing a support system, introducing this experience into the activities of the educational institution in close connection with its strategic management system.

In addition to benchmarking, an instrument such as monitoring the main factors of the university's competitiveness is used to evaluate the quality indicators of educational services [25].

The educational institution of higher education as a subject of management of a holistic system of education is also an object of management since each direction and type of activity of a university is assigned to a certain extent [28].

### 5.2 Type of Benchmarking and Characteristics of the Tools

Strategic. The object is strategic actions, approaches to their development and implementation — the need to change the strategy, which is caused by changes in environmental conditions. Focus on long-term results — the institution's intention for global changes in its activities.

*General.* The activity of the object is compared with similar positions of institutions in other industries. Lack of positive experience in the educational sphere. Research and application of innovation. The goal is to use best practices.

Competitive. The effectiveness of the functioning of structural units of one university is assessed. Condition - an agreement on cooperation with competitors. The goal is to increase assessment indices compared to current indicators.

*International.* The conditions for the operation of the facility are compared with the studied similar areas of universities in other countries [21].

Lack of successful experience of competitiveness in their country. There is a presence of a potential partner in another country; availability of the resource base. The goal is to achieve world-class education, leadership in the industry.

Comparative analysis, assessment, measurement, and comparison of the university's activities' studied indicators. There is a need to determine their competitive position in the market. The goal is to improve the current performance of autonomous and structural units. Effective functioning cannot be based solely on traditional approaches to the competitiveness management process. The university administration's main requirement is its ability to influence the results of its activities and the activities of the university. Based on this, the university management comes to creating a comprehensive system for assessing the functioning of its units and the university as a whole based on the competitive advantages they have achieved [23, 25].

#### 6 Conclusion

The introduction of the latest technologies using a high level of intellectual resources and the market trends of globalization are becoming the main factors determining the development of the economy and the real politics of the 21st century. In this regard, the development of citizens' level of intellectual potential is a key condition for the country's sustainable socio-economic development. Two factors are of particular importance: the transformation of education into the most important component of the socio-economic and moral-spiritual basis of social progress and the widespread use of information technologies in the educational process.

The competitiveness of the higher education system needs to be comprehensively improved in connection with the development of the information society, the country's transition to a knowledge economy, where the demand for intellectual professions predominates. Prospects and further development trends of the higher education system require the development and implementation of a modern, systematic concept of managing a university's competitiveness in a dynamic, market, competitive environment.

In these conditions of functioning of the education sector, scientific and methodological developments are needed to manage universities' competitiveness, which can increase their contribution to the socio-economic development of the state, which determines the relevance of this study. Besides, the currently used methods for assessing and managing universities' competitiveness are in development and leave a fairly wide range for the expansion and improvement of their modifications. The idea of reforming and modernizing the education sphere is that general education should be restructured for a professionally oriented orientation. The higher education system is faced with implementing applied student training, focused on the integration of the university with vocational education, individual education, and student training, taking into account real demand in the domestic and foreign markets.

#### Literature:

- 1. Antonyuk, L.L. (2014). International competitiveness of countries: theory and mechanisms of implementation. The monograph, K.: KNEU, 273.
- 2. Benchmarking Handbook. (2010). Benchmarking in European Higher Education. European Centre for Strategic Management of Universities (ESMU). November 2010. Available at: https://www.che-consult.de/downloads/Handbook\_Benchmarking\_EBI\_II.pdf.
- 3. Finikov, T.V. (2012). The entry of the national system of higher education in the European space of higher education and research: monitoring research: analytical report. International

- grace. Foundation "International Research Foundation of Education Policy". Kyiv: Taxon, 54.
- 4. Finikov, T.V. (2018). Local quality management systems: world experience and Ukrainian construction practices. Edited by T.V.Finikov and V.I. Tereshchuk. K.: Taxon, 316.
- 5. Hayek, F.A. (1999). Cognition, competition, freedom. Saint-Petersburg: Pnevma, 381.
- 6. Heine, P. (1993). Economic way of thinking. M.: Catallaxy, 704
- 7. Keynes, J.M. (1971). Selected works. Translated from English of J.M. Keynes. *Preface*, 5-24, compiled by Khudokormova A. G.; Commented by Kalashnov Yu.N. M.: Economy, 540.
- 8. Klimenko, S.M., Omelyanenko, T.V., & Barabas, D.O. (2008). *Management of enterprise competitiveness*. K.: KNEU, 520.
- 9. Kogan, A. (1983). In the creative laboratory of Karl Marx. Plan of economic research, 1857-1859 and Capital. M.: Mysl [Thought], 174.
- 10. McConnell, K. & Brue, S. (1992). Economics: in 2 Volumes. M.: Republic, 114.
- 11. Mill, J.S. (1980). Fundamentals of political economy. M.: Progress.
- 12. Palekha, Y.I. & Apshai, M.V. (2020). Documentary management of the institution as an indicator of the level of its information culture. *Studies in archival affairs and document science*, 28, 102–110.
- 13. Porter, M. (1993). *International Competition: The Competitive Advantages of Countries*. M.: International relations, 896.
- 14. Porter, M. (2002). Competition. St. Petersburg: Williams, 495.
- 15. Porter, M. (2008). Competitive advantage: how to achieve high results and ensure sustainability. M.: Alpina Business Books.
- 16. Ricardo, D. (2007). *The beginnings of political economy and taxation*. Translated by P.N. Klyukin, Anthology of economic thought. M.: EKSMO, 960.
- 17. Robinson, J. (1986). The economic theory of imperfect competition. M.: Progress, 472.
- 18. Savelyev, E.V., Chebotar S.I., & Stefanych D.A. (2008). *The latest marketing*. The textbook by E.V. Saveliev, K.: Knowledge, 420.
- 19. Schumpeter, J.A. (1995). Capitalism, socialism and democracy. K.: Basics, 528.
- 20. Semenyuk, S. (2012). Development of innovative marketing in the market of educational services. *Galician Economic Bulletin*, 6(39), 51–158.
- 21. Sherstneva, I.V. (2019). Pedagogical component of the professional competence of the future teacher of mathematics in the context of the competence approach. *Scientific notes of Berdyansk State Pedagogical University. Series: Pedagogical sciences.* Berdyansk: BSPU, 3, 406-412.
- 22. Shumilova, I.F. (2018). General cultural competence of the teacher an important direction of the idea of leadership in education. *Scientific notes of Berdyansk State Pedagogical University. Series: Pedagogical sciences.* Berdyansk: BSPU, 2, 208 215.
- 23. Smith, A. (1962). Research on the nature and causes of the wealth of peoples. Wealth of Nations. The Harvard Classics.
- 24. Smith, A. (2011). The welfare of nations. Research on the nature and causes of the well-being of nations. K.: Port Royal, 684
- 25. Standards and recommendations for quality assurance in the European Higher Education Area (ESG). (2020). Available at: https://enqa.eu/wpcontent/uploads/2015/11/ESG\_2015.pdf.
- 26. Stepanyuk, K.I. (2020). Technological and design skills of masters of primary education: updating the content of professional training. Scientific notes of Berdyansk State Pedagogical University. Series: Pedagogical sciences. Berdyansk: BSPU, 1, 426-435.
- 27. Strategic Advisory Group at the Ministry of Education and Science of Ukraine. (2015). *Roadmap for educational reform* (2015-2025). Charitable Foundation "Institute for Educational Development", K., 78.

28. Verkhovna Rada of Ukraine. (2018). Law of Ukraine "On Education" №2145-VIII of 05.09.2017: as of September 14, 2018. Available at: http://zakon.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/2145-10

**Primary Paper Section:** A

Secondary Paper Section: AM