DIACHRONIC-DIALECTOLOGICAL INVESTIGATION AND STUDY OF CONJUCTION MORPHEMES IN LINGUISTICS

^aGUDSIYYA GAMBAROVA

Linguistics Institute named after I.Nasimi of Azerbaijan National Academy of Sciences, 115, H.Gavid Ave., AZ1073, Baku city, Azerbaijan

email: aqudsiyye@bk.ru

Abstract: This article, dedicated to the diachronic-dialectological investigation of conjuction morphemes, marks the first linguistic research at the level of conjuction morphemes in the Azerbaijani language. It is evident that linguists in need of clarification in this field have established specific terms differentiating between conjuction morphemes and conjuction words. As it appears, research in linguists related to conjuction words. As it appears, research in linguistics related to conjuction has traditionally centered on a grammatical perspective. However, it is essential to shift the focus towards more comprehensive objectives in contemporary linguistic investigations. These include recognizing conjuction as morphemes, adopting a typological linguistic approach, unveiling preforms and derivatives through morphophonological methods, and elucidating their origins, etymology, and related matters. In this study, the primary goal of the researcher is to accept conjuction as morphemes and, through morphophonological analysis, arrive at well-founded conclusions about their origins and etymology. This is achieved by referencing historical sources of the language and relying on contemporary dialectical and speech data within the Azerbaijani language. It should be noted that during the research, consideration has been given to language facts from both related and unrelated languages, with the intention of yielding positive results. For this purpose, conjuction morphemes in the Azerbaijani language, such as va, ki, amma, ya, ya da, gah, gah da, ki, va, ila(-la, -la), ham, ham da, ya, ya da etc., are investigated from a diachronic-dialectological perspective. To enhance the scientific quality of the research, reference has been made to ancient and medieval written language samples where these conjuction morphemes are used. The obtained scientific results are substantiated with contemporary dialect and speech facts.

Keywords: Azerbaijani language, morpheme, binder, diachronic-dialectological, language facts, linguistics

1 Introduction

In the Azerbaijani language, conjuction morphemes play a crucial role in grammatical structuring, as they connect words and components within complex sentences. Additionally, conjuction morphemes serve to maintain coherence between paragraphs and microtexts in the written language. While the lexicographic richness of conjuction morphemes may not be particularly evident in ancient and medieval sources related to Azerbaijani language history, it is undeniable that the oral and written forms of Azerbaijani rely heavily on these elements.

From a historical grammatical perspective, it is suggested that conjuction morphemes emerged in Turkic languages alongside with the development of complex sentence structures. In fact, some theories propose that Old Turkic did not employ conjuction morphemes at all [17, p. 317].

F.Zeynalov also associates the limited quantity of conjuction morphemes in contemporary Turkic languages with the ability of these languages to perform conjuction functions through intonation, verb context, verbal aspect, and other means [20, p. 331].

Linguists often correlate the abundance of conjuction morphemes in modern Azerbaijani literary language with written literary forms. For instance, G.Kazymov, in comparing the role of conjuction morphemes with conjunctions, writes: "...the role of conjuction morphemes is much broader compared to the activity of conjunctions, and conjuction morphemes play a more significant role in the language" [12, p. 351].

In Azerbaijani linguistics, this topic marks the first-time exploration of conjuction and conjuction words at the morpheme level within the diachronic-dialectological context, based on written literary language. In this ongoing research, a new perspective has been introduced to the subject of conjuction, delving into the reasons and origins of conjuction elements. During the research, conjuction elements have been introduced for the first time as morphemes. Some of these conjuction morphemes have undergone historical, morphonological, etymological, and dialectological investigations.

2 Literature Review

While discussions on the grammatical role of conjuction elements in linguistics are not lacking, there is a noticeable gap in their diachronic-dialectological research as morphemes. In this context, it is imperative to consider aspects such as their origin, typology, semantics, structure, and other pertinent factors

In the Azerbaijani language, conjuction elements can be categorized into two groups: those of pure Turkic origin and those with Eastern linguistic influences. Given that our objective is to explore conjuction elements at the morphemic level within the language, establishing their etymological roots becomes a pivotal endeavor. Therefore, we contend that a diachronic-dialectological approach to certain conjuction elements not only has the potential to generate novel scholarly insights but also promises intriguing discoveries. Conjuction elements in language fulfill a dual function, serving to establish both absence and presence of relations across words, sentences, phrases, microtexts, and more, both semantically and grammatically.

Concerning the grammar of Turkic languages, the systematic examination of conjuction elements and their structural characteristics is mainly associated with the work of Mirza Kazimbey. Within his scholarship, the systematic investigation of conjuction elements was posited as a central objective, yielding substantial scientific advancements for the era.

In the field of research and study of conjuction elements in contemporary Azerbaijani literary language, several prominent linguists have contributed significantly. Notable among them are Dzh. Afandiyev, M.S.Shiraliyev, Q.Q.Bagyrov, Dzh.A.Dzhafarov, A.Aslanov, A.Khalilov, A.Z.Abdullayev, M.Huseynzade, Y.Seyidov, Q.Kazymov, Z.Tahmazov, and many other linguists who have conducted extensive scholarly research.

Within the historical grammar of the Azerbaijani language, scholars like H.Mirzazade, A.Shukurlu, G.Kazymov, and others have undertaken comparative linguistic analyses related to conjuction elements, offering valuable insights into the language's historical development.

Regarding dialectology in the Azerbaijani language, the contributions of M.S.Shiraliyev are particularly noteworthy. In his work, "Foundations of Azerbaijani Dialectology", he emphasizes that conjuction elements in dialects and regional variations have not developed as extensively as in the literary language [17, p. 281-282]. He points out that the connection of simple and complex sentences based on intonation is more sophisticated in dialects, often providing numerous examples to illustrate this phenomenon [18, p. 307-309].

M.Mammadli noted the differentiation of conjuction elements in dialects and vernaculars from literary language. He highlighted that these conjuction elements not only exhibit various phonetic variants but also perform to some extent different functions. According to the observations of the author, some conjuction elements present in dialects and vernaculars are not encountered in the literary language [15, p. 33].

Azerbaijani Turkologist F.Zeynalov, in his works on the comparative grammar of Turkic languages, has clarified contentious issues related to conjuction elements and conjuction words and provided commentary on their specific aspects [20, p. 329-341].

In the Turkish linguistics, conjuction as a linguistic component has been extensively investigated by scholars such as M.Ergin, T.Gejan, and T.Banguoglu. In contemporary research within Azerbaijani linguistics, along with auxiliary linguistic components, the topic of conjuction elements has gained

prominence and has been explored from a new perspective by P.Eyvazov. According to the researcher's final conclusion, a significant area of interest in Azerbaijani and Turkish linguistics is namely the issue of the origins and reasons for the emergence of conjuction elements [5, p. 92].

As previously mentioned, despite the fact that conjuction elements have not been traditionally considered as morphemes in linguistic research, they are subjected to the same criteria as word morphemes. For instance, based on the classification of conjuction elements proposed by G.Kazymov, it becomes evident that approaching conjuction elements as lexical units is quite apparent. According to the author, conjuction elements can be categorized from several perspectives: 1. Based on their usage characteristics. 2. Based on their structure. 3. Based on their origin. 4. Based on their syntactic function. 5. Based on their position of occurrence [11, p. 352].

As it appears, in this area, all lexical and grammatical features belonging to a lexical unit, a root morpheme, have been considered. Therefore, it is reasonable to address the following issues to form a sound opinion about the origin of conjuction elements: 1. Evaluating simple conjuction elements as morphemes; 2. Treating conjuction elements as lexical units; 3. Studying conjuction elements from a morphological perspective; 4. Classifying conjuction elements from a semantic perspective (polysemy, homonymy, synonymy, antonymy); 6. Evaluating conjuction elements as morphological tools; 7. Determining the syntactic role of conjuction elements; 8. Studying the derivational aspects of conjuction elements; 9. Identifying the stylistic possibilities of conjuction elements and more.

At times, one can come across ideas among linguists related to the origin of conjuction elements, which are associated with the process of conjuction. Indeed, there is some truth in this idea, as language, like a foreign word, does not easily integrate into its environment. The process of adaptation to this environment takes a long time, and the exact outcome is often unknown. However, the essential truth is that, as a result of the development and improvement of human thought, when there is a need for more complex, longer sentences, words and intonation that connect ideas have been required. Consequently, conjuction tools have emerged. We believe that the issue of conjuction is related to style. Both individual and literary genres should be considered. For example, in poetry, prose, and drama genres, the level of using conjuction elements may yield different results.

In the realm of linguistics and literature, the categorization of conjuction elements in the Azerbaijani language based on their national origin has been delineated as follows: 1. Indigenous conjuction elements: ki, və, ilə(-la,- lə), gəl ki, nə, nə də, nə də ki, istər, istərsə də, istərsə də ki, belə ki, buna görə də, ona görə ki; 2. Borrowed conjuction elements of Arabic-Persian origin: gah, gah da, habelə, həmçinin, zira, çünki, lakin, hətta, etc.

We believe that determining the origin of conjuction elements is not a straightforward matter and can be established after a thorough investigation of word morphology. In general, however, the creation of conjuction elements relies primarily on the inherent capabilities of the language. In Azerbaijani language, the synonymy of some conjuction elements allows for this conclusion. One of the reasons for the emergence of synonymy in language can be the presence of multiple variants: $ki = kt^4 - kim^4 - kim^4$, or, $v_0 = il_0(-la, -l_0)$, etc. Here, the facts of regional dialects play a significant role. For example, the wellestablished "ki" connector in contemporary written literary language is used in dialects and regional variations in various forms such as ki, ki, ku, $k\bar{u}$, as well as kin, kin, kun, $k\bar{u}n$ forms, and even in the form of kino, following the rules of phonetic harmony.

Alternatively, when considering borrowed words, there are phonetic variants of the connector morpheme, such as *ama*, *amba*, *hamma*, *hamba*, *əmə*, *əmmə*, *əmbə*, and so on, in regional dialects, along with synonyms like *intahası*, *inta:sı*; *indi ki*, *gl ki*, and so forth [15, p. 35].

Furthermore, when considering the homonymic aspect of certain connector-morphemes, we encounter interesting facts. For instance, the function of the "ki" morpheme as a connector or an adverb is determined within the sentence context. Similarly, the function of the "ilə" morpheme as a connector or an addition depends on the context. These same principles can also be applied to determine whether certain connectors are habitual in Azerbaijani language.

It is also worth noting that some connectors in Azerbaijani language can create homonymy with derivational morphemes. For example, the "da, al" connector morpheme forms homophones with the locative case suffix of nouns, while the "ki" connector morpheme forms homophones with the adjective-forming suffix "-ka⁴". —Mən də, sən də, o da bu kişinin balalarıyıq (conjuction) (speech example) — Me too, you too, and he/she too are this person's children; — Məndə Məcnundan füzun aşiqlik istedadı var (M.Fuzuli) (locative case suffix) — I also have a lot of talent for falling in love from Majnun; — Gəl ey tacir, uş Yusifi satın al, Ki, kanındiz qilyım gövhər(Nasimi) (conjuction) — Come, O merchant, buy Joseph, For in his blood, rubies become worthless.

3 Materials and Method

This research focuses on the "Diachronic-Dialectological Study and Analysis of Conjuction Morphemes" based on the materials of contemporary Azerbaijani literary language. The study aims to investigate conjuction morphemes in a historical and dialectological context. For the first time, in this research, conjuction morphemes are approached at the morphemic level. As it is known, in previous studies, conjuction morphemes were not considered as lexical units; instead, they were treated as grammatical tools. This research attempts to study conjuction morphemes using a historical-comparative method. To achieve this goal, ancient written sources of Turkic origin, such as Mahmud Kashgari's "Divanu Lughat-it Turk" and "Kitabi-Dede Gorgud" epic tales, as well as classical literary samples of the Azerbaijani language, serve as the basis for the analysis of language facts and their current state in Azerbaijani dialects and vernaculars, confirming ancient facts.

The article employs a linguistic-descriptive method as its primary approach. The descriptive method is also a method of analysis. For this purpose, a systematic examination of the history of conjuction morphemes in Azerbaijani linguistics has been conducted. Based on historical chronology, conjuction morphemes have been revisited in terms of their nature as linguistic units, leading to certain additions and specifications.

Moreover, comparative and historical-comparative methods have also been utilized in the research. The research process aims to systematically explain and analyze existing conjuction morphemes. During the research, the historical-dialectological function of conjuction morphemes has been extensively discussed. To achieve this goal, the capabilities of the comparative-historical method have been fully utilized. The common written sources of Turkic languages, as well as the classical literary language of Azerbaijani and its vernaculars, have provided evidence of ancient linguistic facts that manifest in contemporary spoken language. In this regard, the application of morphophonological analysis has played an important role in resolving certain etymological issues correctly.

Special attention has been paid to the stylistic-grammatical capabilities of conjuction morphemes, their linguistic history, and their nature related to dialects. The article highlights the morphemic nature of conjuction morphemes while also taking into account their lexical-semantic meaning. For this purpose, the investigation of the internal characteristics of the language has been demonstrated through factual evidence. Given the specific relevance of the subject to conjuction morphemes, classification, systematization, and confrontational methods have been applied. For example, in linguistics, the organization and systematization of conjuction morpheme types have evolved to their contemporary state through formalization. Occasionally,

research in this field leads to specific and concrete ideas related to the subject.

At the next stage, the idea of exploring the origins, etymology, and historical-diachronic perspectives of certain conjuction morphemes is formulated. In this context, interesting examples from contemporary literary language are selected for research. Their historical and dialectal variants are identified, and contemporary literary language, linguistic history, and dialect facts are juxtaposed. Throughout the text, within the context, it is revealed whether they have the same or different meanings. For example, the status of some common words (such as "ancaq", "ki", "da", "da", "ila", and so on) as conjuction or other parts of speech is determined by means of opposition. The research process mainly employs the correlative confrontation method because in the etymology analysis of some conjuction morphemes, a morphophonological approach is necessary. In most cases, it is essential to demonstrate the phonetic variants of conjuction morphemes. For instance, it is possible that conjuction morphemes with CV, CVC, VC(C)V, CVC(C)V, VC(C) syllable patterns have Turkic origins.

As evident, the alternation of voiced and voiceless sounds in these patterns aligns perfectly with the harmony rules of Turkic languages. However, the presence of two voiceless sounds in the syllable model of conjuction morphemes does not necessarily mean the word's etymology. But in the case of "am-ma", "an-caq", "cün-ki", and so on, the nature of the issue is related to the morpheme being two syllables long - in other words, "am-ma", "an-caq", "cün-ki", etc.

4 Results and Discussion

In the Azerbaijani language, despite availability of a rich lexicon of conjuction morphemes, there is also a common lexicon shared with other parts of speech. For example, the word "ilə" (-la, -lə), depending on its meaning in the context, can act as either a connector or a conjunction: İnsanı yaşadan arzu al ümiddir (conjuction) — The person who lives is hopeful with desire. Or, İnsan arzu ib, ümid ilə yaşayır (conjunction) — A person lives with desire and hope.

As one can see, among the connectors, only one of the abessive morphemes, "ila" (-la, -la), or its counterparts, functions as a connector, serving as a duplicate of its conjuction counterpart. Since "va" (and) is more characteristic of written literary language, it is not considered suitable for informal speech and household style. Depending on the stylistic context, it is possible to convey the same meaning while maintaining the content in a sentence like "lnsan vasadan lnsan lnsan lnsan ln

In linguistics, especially in historical grammar, it is noted that this connector, which comes from the Persian language, is used in "həm — həm" (both) forms, creating consecutive sentences with similar members and sometimes providing strength to the expression by being suitable for the meaning [16, p. 226].

We think that the semantic versatility of the morpheme "hom" has later led to the creation of new forms based on this conjuction: həm də, həm də ki, həmçinin, və həm də, və həm də ki, and so on. Thus, new forms are considered synonymous with the word "həm" in terms of content. Therefore, it can be argued that if there was an equivalent connector with the same content in the Azerbaijani language, there would be no need to borrow from another language. Ancient dictionaries related to Turkic languages reveal that this phonetic form of the morpheme was not a coincidence in ancient writings. The presence of [h] sound in this form could be considered as a later development in sound change. Consequently, although the meaning of the morpheme "ep" in M.Kasgari's dictionary is represented as an emphatic and emphasizing particle, in reality, it has evolved into "hep - həp həb — hem — həm" form at a later stage: Ep edhgü rənq = Ep eyi, gerçəkdən yaxşı şey [8, p. 109].

It should be noted that, in fact, the morpheme "həm" is not only a connector morpheme but it also appears in a reinforcing

function in coordination, participation, and sequential processes: Həm iş, həm vəzifə, həm məişət qayğılarının çoxluğu insanları bir-birindən uzaqlaşdırır — The abundance of both work, responsibilities, and household cares alienates people from each other.

Interestingly, in the Azerbaijani language, in the form of "bahəm", which is accepted as a barbarism, it is observed that the more important fact remains in its composition. In this phrase, it manifests as "ba=həm". There is no need to prove the existence and meaning of "ba-bə" in Azrbaijani language: dalbadal (back and forth), üzbəüz (face to face, or intertwined), and so on

Furthermore, common words like "görə" (for), "ötrü" (from), and "üçün" (to) have specific contexts. It should be noted that the use of connectors is less observed in ancient examples of the language.

The conjuction "amma". "Amma" is a lexeme derived from Arabic. It serves as an oppositional contrastive connector with a meaning of contradiction. Similar to words that have transitioned from Arabic to Azerbaijani literary language, it quickly integrated into the language and even substantivized, being used in various fixed expressions: *amma cixartmaq (to contradict), *ammasi cixmaq (to counter), *ammasi var (there is a contradiction), *ammasiz (without contradiction), etc.

H.Mirzazade notes that this word is used as a compound connector in the form of "əmma-fi", emphasizing that the first part of this structure, "əmma", is placed before the word to which attention is drawn at the beginning of the sentence [16, p. 216].

It should be noted that in the language of the "Koran", the intensive use of this connector is observed: Va"əmməsmən cəə"əkə yəs"aa [14].

"Amma", as noted by H. Mirzazade, is spelled differently in the language of epos "Kitabi-Dede Gorgud" compared to modern written literary language: Salur Qazan nə atın öğdi, nə də kəndin öğdi. Əmma bəglərin hünərin söylədi [13, p. 104]. — Salur Gazan neither learned to ride a horse nor to plow the field. However, he mastered the art of falconry; Arıq olsa, qulağın dələrdi, avda bəllü olsun deyü. Əmma semüz olsa, boğazlardı [13, p. 104] — Although he wished to make it sharp, the knife had a hole in its blade for the ear. But if it were sharp, it would slit throats.

As seen from the examples, historically, "amma" has been used similarly to the "va" conjunction and has primarily created connections between sentence components. This conjunction is encountered in various forms in literary language, as well as in spoken language and dialects, such as "amma/amba", "ama/ama", "amba/əma", and so on. G.Kazymov mentions "amma" as one of the frequently used and repeated conjunctions [12, p. 352].

The conjunction "amma" connects the components of a sentence, specifically serving to link different elements within a complex sentence. More precisely, it assists in establishing a reciprocal or contrastive relationship between the components of a sentence. Observations indicate that the conjunction "amma" is used as a synonym for "ancaq", with the latter being used more frequently. However, when it comes to the conjunction "lakin", it is generally not used in spoken language. It is believed that "ancaq" places more emphasis on the semantic aspect rather than the conjunction's content. In fact, at the beginning of the text, the attention was drawn to the practice of placing the word in question at the beginning of the sentence, as it is done in Arabic.

It should be noted that in literary examples related to language history, conjunctions like "fəqət, lakin, leyk, vəli", which have the same meaning, have become somewhat archaic. Interestingly, in dialects and colloquial speech, the conjunction "inta:su/intahasi" is used more frequently for the purpose of

comparison than "amma", suggesting a higher intensity of usage for the former: Söz verdün, inta:sı əməl eləmədün [4, p. 167] — You promised but did not fulfill it; Çox söybət bi:rəm, inta:sı indi yadıma tüşmür [4, p. 167] — I know a lot, but now I don't remember.

We believe that the etymology of the "intahast" connector will reveal its Turkic origin. The study of the word from a morphological perspective also supports this: in=an; taha=daha+sı (membership suffix). In literary language, particularly in the adjective "intahastz", in addition to meanings like "limitless, boundless, etc.", there are also connotations like "flawless, unique", and so on in the combination "intahastz gözəllik".

The conjuction "ya, ya da". The disjunctive conjunction related to division, "ya, ya da" (either, or), is used in various forms such as "ya, ya da ki", or "ya, və ya ki". It is observed that in the "Kitabi-Dede Gorgud" epic tales, this conjunction links the components of complex sentences related to division. Ya varam, ya varmayam. Ya gələm, ya gəlməyəm; Ya qara buğranın köksi altında qalam! Və ya buğranın buynuzunda ilişəm [13] — Either I will go, or I will not go. Either I will come, or I will not come. Either I will stay under the black clouds, or I will touch the tip of the clouds; Ocab qəddinmi şol, ya sərvi-bustan [7] — Does the strange fold like that, or the cypress garden?

The conjunction "ya, ya da", historically accepted as a synonym, like the modern literary language, can also accept the conjunction "istər-istər" as its equivalent. Based on the facts coming from the dialects, it can be said that "ya, ya da" historically has been a polysemic auxiliary morpheme in Azerbaijani language. "Ya, ya da" in the northern-western and northern-eastern dialects and accents of the Azerbaijani language replaces the negative conjunction "na, na da" and vice versa: Ya oxumur, ya yazmır [2, p. 175] — Either he/she reads or writes.

"Ya" morfeme sometimes conveys a negation meaning among speakers of colloquial language and dialects: Ya oxudu, ya oxumadı, kim nə zərəli olacaq? — Either he/she read it or didn't, who will be harmed by it?

The conjuction "gah, gah da". The disjunctive conjunction "gah, gah da" is of Persian origin. It is mainly used in written literary language and, from there, is also employed in spoken colloquial language. In spoken language and dialects, one can also find the variant "gah, gah da". In literary examples, one may come across the variations "gah, gahi, gh, ghi" for this conjunction: Gah dönər ay bədr olur, gah özünü hilal eylər [7]—At times, the moon turns bright, and at times, it forms a crescent.

M.Mammadli provides an example of the "ya" and "na" connectors in dialects, highlighting that in addition to their literary meanings, they also serve each other's functions [15, p. 245]

The use of the negation conjuction "ya" is more commonly observed in the dialects of Karabakh and Eastern Zangezur in the Azerbaijani language [3].

In the dialects and regional variations of the Azerbaijani language, one can also come across the connector "gah, gah da" being used as a synonym for "ara, ara bir, birdən" which means "sometimes" or "occasionally". This is particularly common in the dialects of the Karabakh region.

The conjuction "ki". The conjuction "ki" is widely used both in written and spoken Azerbaijani. G.Kazymov associates the root morpheme of "ki" with a nostratic significance. He writes, "This conjuction has been created by dropping the last sound from the 'kim' lexeme. Over the past thousand years, it has gradually replaced the 'kim' conjuction and has become fixed in our modern language by completely pushing aside the 'kim' conjuction" [11, p. 19].

As it is known, in contemporary Azerbaijani dialects, especially in the Western group of dialects, conjuctions like "kın, kin, kun, kün" are observed as prominent features, and they are considered variants of the modern literary language conjiction "ki".

Regarding the origin of the "ki" conjuction, there have been various opinions in linguistics. According to H.Mirzazade, the proximity between the Persian language and some Turkic languages has led some Turkologists to draw a wrong conclusion that the "ki" conjuction in Turkic languages has passed from the Persian-Iranian languages [16, p. 231].

The extensive use of the conjuction "ki" in contemporary spoken language and written literary language suggests the possibility that "ki" has a long history as an enlightening habitual morpheme in Turkic languages. Its function as a connector is the result of the later development of the language.

In addition to its role as a conjuction, the "ki" morpheme also has other meanings related to signification, place, time, membership, possession, belonging, and more. We believe that historically, this aspect has also been present, as noted in M.Kashgari's "Divan": onqdünki yalığ, kidinki yalığ (means the front and back parts of the waistband of horse – [by author G.G.]) [10, p. 21].

The words "kid" and "kidh", as provided and written in two spellings in M.Kaşğari's dictionary, help determine the etymology of the "ki" connector. Let us look at examples from the "Divan" where "kid" means "back, end, later": ∂r kidhin kaldı [9, p. 51] — The man lagged behind, stayed behind; İş kidhinqə baxınğıl [9, p. 164] — Look to the end of the job and think; Ol anı kidin odhğardı [8, p. 265] — After thinking about it for a while, after a little while, after some time, he understood.

In the given examples, in the first sentence, "kidh" (kiy) morpheme is followed by "-in", which is a locative and directional case; in the second sentence, it is " $i=nq\vartheta$ ", which indicates possessiveness, and in the third sentence, it is a temporal adverb indicating "after". Therefore, historical linguistic evidence suggests that the "ki" morpheme can have the adverbial meaning of "sonra" (afterwards). In modern Azerbaijani literary language and in the language facts of dialects and regional speech, the "ki(ke)" root morpheme with a temporal meaning, such as "keş" (morning time, around 8-10 o'clock), is used. We believe that in the semantics of the example brought from the "Divan", the modern meaning of "ki(y)", i.e., "gec" (late), is more apparent: — O ant kidin odhğardı [8, p. 265] — After thinking about it for a while, and a little while later, he understood.

The root of the word "ke(y)", which is used similarly in meaning to this ancient morpheme in spoken language and dialects, means "understanding late, realizing late". Therefore, currently, the adverb "keyin/kiyin" has its root in it and is used by substantivization. Hence, one can think that the humorous nature of the word "key" carries the ancient meaning within itself. Just as "key" requires an explanation for the late understanding, the use of the conjuction "ki" also indicates the need for clarification. Speaking of the modern variants such as "ki, ki, kii, kin, kin, kin, kin, kin, kin, kin, kin, kin, kin, kin and overt-closed types of the conjuction are characteristic for some dialects and accents. This situation is mainly observed in western, southern, and partly transitional dialects, and it changes depending on the harmony of the final syllable of the preceding word before the conjunction.

In dialects and accents, sometimes in question sentences, the conjuction or morpheme "ki" is used in the same composition, adding an additional tone to the question intonation. M.Shiraliyev also notes that in the Baku dialect, the conjuction "ki" is followed by the conjuction "ya", providing an example: Sən bilmirsən ki ya, mən öydən bayra çıxmıram? [19, p. 131] — Don't you know that I'm not going from home to the countryside, huh?

In some dialects and in spoken language, "ki" carries both interrogative and reinforcing habitual meaning. Dillənir ki?; Ağzın açıf bir kəlmə kəsir ki? [6, p. 234] — Do they say?; Do you open your mouth and utter a word?

The morpheme "ki" takes part in the formation of some complex-compound connectors and compound words in Azerbaijani language: gəl ki, ona görə ki, çünki, onda ki, hərçənd ki, and so on.

Speaking of the "-y(-in)" morpheme, it should be noted that it has been reflected in historical grammar books as an adverbial formant that forms adverbs. Words like "yaxın" (near), "bütün" (whole), "uzun" (long), "dünən" (yesterday), "üstün" (on top), "korun" (with difficulty), etc., are examples of various meaning adverbs formed using this morpheme [16, p. 185-186].

The conjuction " \mathfrak{p} ". The conjunction " $v\mathfrak{p}$ " serves both to connect compound sentences and to create a coordination relationship between homonymous members. While " $v\mathfrak{p}$ " is primarily used in written language, it is not commonly found in spoken language. It is important to note that in spoken language, " $v\mathfrak{p}$ " can fulfill its function in coordinating compound sentences, primarily through intonation. The coordinating function of " $v\mathfrak{p}$ " between homonymous members is accomplished using the instrumental case suffixes "-la", "-la", "-nan", or "-nan". For example: Alnan yaşıl – xos yaraşır — Green suits the red well.

G.Kazymov is correct in his observation that "və" conjunction creates a closed sequence in a sentence, meaning that after this conjunction, a component can only be used once. This restriction helps maintain clarity and coherence in Azerbaijani sentences [12, p. 358]. This is the syntactic norm of modern Azerbaijani literary language. However, we have evidence from literary examples in the history of Azerbaijani language that "və" has been used in different contexts [17, p. 18].

In oral colloquial speech, " $v\partial$ " used between same-gender individuals is replaced by "-nan; - $n\partial$ n". In some dialects, it can also be expressed as " $v\partial = il\partial/dan^2$ " [6, p. 244].

M.Mammadli highlights that this particular feature, although specific to spoken language, occasionally transitions into written texts as well. He suggests that the grammatical function of the "ilə" conjunction can be seen as an alternative to this usage in colloquial speech [15, p. 130].

It can be said that in speech, this morpheme variant, when added to a word, is subject to the phonetic impact of that word. In dialects and colloquial speech, synonyms of the "ilə" conluction, such as "-la²", "-nan²", "-ynan", "-yinən" are more characteristic. We believe that there is a specific reason why the "ilə" morpheme performs the function of "və". In this regard, it is possible to observe the independence of "i-la" morphemes in the language. It is known that in Russian, the «и» morpheme serves as an equivalent to the Azerbaijani "və" morpheme. Similarly, in the Persian language, "i" is used to convey the same combining meaning as "va". G.Kazymov, when discussing the historical origin of the conjuction "vo", states: "They consider this conjuction to have Arabic origins. In reality, this connector has been used in the Azerbaijani language long before the 7th century. Historically, this conjuction derives from the 'ü' connector (it shares the same root as the 'i' conjuction in Russian) and was written as 'vü' in words ending with vocative [13, p. 355].

It should be noted that the variants of the "va" connector in world languages confirm these ideas. Summing up all the mentioned ideas, the historical functions of the "va" connector can be structured as follows: It provides a connection between homonyms; It establishes grammatical-semantic relationships between components of complex sentences, both with and without tables; it forms adverbs; it maintains logical coherence between paragraphs, and so on.

M.Adilov writes: "Through the use of the 'ba, ba' morphemes, mainly nouns are repeated and function as adverbs. For example:

ağızbaağız (mouth-to-mouth), nəsilbənəsil (generation after generation), yanbayan (side by side), kəndbəkənd (village after village), üzbəüz (face to face), and so on" [1, p. 98].

We believe that the functions of the "ba/ba" element and the "va" conjunction unite them for the same purpose. It should be noted that in spoken language and in some dialects, the causal conjunction "ba" is used, as in "balasunnan" (because of his/her child). The etymology of this conjunction can be understood through analysis: ba+la+si+(n)+dan or, in a modern context, va+ila+si+(n)+dan. In terms of semantics, the word " $ba\ddot{g}$ " means "to attach", and "band" reinforces our argument. We believe that "va" is the most ancient root morpheme of Turkic origin, as seen in words like "bumeranq" (boomerang).

5 Conclusion

The diachronic-dialectological study of conjunctions reveals that it is important to consider conjunctions such as "ki, və, həm, ya, amma, ib", and others, which are intensively used in modern literary Azerbaijani, as morphemes. This linguistic approach allows understanding the historical-linguistic nature of these conjunctions. By utilizing real dialect facts, we shed light on the historical-linguistic nature of these conjunctions. As a result, it is demonstrated that some conjunctions accepted as borrowed in modern literary language have indigenous origins based on Azerbaijani language materials.

The emphasis in linguistic terminology is shifted more towards the written form, style, and register of conjunctions, introducing a new approach to the nature of terminology in linguistics. When considering the division of linguistic components, the necessity of paying attention to the stylistic-grammatical factor becomes apparent. A systematic examination and analysis of the study of conjunctions are conducted in various fields of linguistics, including contemporary literary language, historical grammar, and dialectology.

The ancient variants of conjunction morphemes in modern Azerbaijani literary language are discovered, confirming their Turkic origin based on dialect and regional language facts. Considering conjunctions as morphemes confirms their lexical unity. The presence of various words derived from these morphemes in dialects and regional languages supports this idea. The conjunction morphemes in Azerbaijani literary language and their variants in other Turkic languages reveal their existence and origin in ancient Turkic tribal languages. A diachronic-dialectological approach to conjunction morphemes provides opportunities for their etymological explanation and origin.

Literature:

- 1. Adilov, M. (2010). Asarlari [Works]. Part 1. Baku: Elm va tahsil. (In Azer.).
- 2. Azarbajdzhan dilinin dialektolozhi atlasy. (1990). [Dialectological Atlas of the Azerbaijani Language]. Baku: Elm. (In Azer.).
- 3. Azarbajdzhan dilinin Qarabag' va Sharqi Zangazur dialektolozhi atlasy. (2022). [Dialectological Atlas of the Karabakh and Eastern Zangezur Dialects of the Azerbaijani Language]. Baku: Elm va tahsil. (In Azer.).
- 4. Azarbajdzhan dilinin Nakhchyvan dialektolozhi lug'ati. (2017). [Dialect Dictionary of the Nakhchivan Dialect of the Azerbaijani Language]. Baku: Elm va tahsil. (In Azer.).
- 5. Eyvazov, P. (2015). Azarbajdzhan va Turkija turkdzhalarinda komakchi nitq hissalari [Auxiliary Parts of Speech in Azerbaijani and Turkish Languages]. *Turkolozhi arashdyrmalar* [Turkology Research], 1, 92-112. (In Azer.).
- 6. Hajiyev, T. (2018). Dzhabrajyl shivasi. Sechilmish asarlari. [Jabrayil Dialect. Selected Works]. Part III. Baku: Elm. (In Azer.).
- 7. İmadaddin, N. (1973). *Sechilmish asarlari*. [Selected Works]. Baku: Azarnashr. (In Azer.).
- 8. Kaşğari, M. (2006). *Divanu-lug'at-it Turk*. [Divanu-lugat-it Turk]. Part 2. Baku: Ozan. (In Azer.).
- 9. Kaşğari, M. (2006). *Divanu-lug'at-it Turk*. [Divanu-lugat-it Turk]. Part 3. Baku: Ozan. (In Azer.).

- 10. Kaşğari, M. (2006). *Divanu-lug'at-it Turk*. [Divanu-lugat-it Turk]. Part 4. Baku: Ozan. (In Azer.).
- 11. Kazymov, G. (2010). *Azarbajdzhan dilinda tabeli murakkab dzhumlanin inkishaf tarikhi*. [The Development History of Complex Sentences in the Azerbaijani Language]. Baku: Elm va tahsil. (In Azer.).
- 12. Kazymov, G. (2010). *Muasir Azarbajdzhan dili. Morfologija*. [Modern Azerbaijani Language. Morphology]. Baku: Elm va tahsil. (In Azer.).
- 13. Kitabi-Dede Gorgud. (1988). [Book of Dede Korkut]. Baku: Yazychy. (In Azer.).
- 14. Qurani-Karim. (2009). [Koran]. Baku: Çıraq. (In Azer.).
- 15. Məmmədli, M. (2019). *Azarbajdzhan dialektologiyasy*. [Azerbaijani Dialectology]. Baku: Zardabi Nashr. (In Azer.).
- 16. Mirzazada, H. (1990). Azarbajdzhan dilinin tarikhi qrammatikasy. [Historical Grammar of the Azerbaijani Language]. Baku: ADU. (In Azer.).
- 17. Shiraliyev, M. (2008). *Azarbajdzhan dialektologijasynyn asaslary*. [Fundamentals of Azerbaijani Dialectology]. Baku: Sharq-Oarb. (In Azer.).
- 18. Shiraliyev, M. (1957). *Baky dialekti*. [Baku dialect]. Baku: Azarb.SSR EA Press. (In Azer.).
- 19. Shukurov, Ə. (1981). Azarbajdzhan dilinin tarikhi qrammatikasy (Zarf, köməkchi nitq hissalari). [Historical Grammar of the Azerbaijani Language (Adverbs, Auxiliary Parts of Speech)]. Baku: APİ Press. (In Azer.).
- 20. Zeynalov, F. (2008). *Turk dillarinin muqajisali qrammatikasy*. (Fonetika, leksika, morfologija). [Comparative Grammar of Turkic Languages. (Phonetics, Lexicon, Morphology)]. Baku: "MBM" Press. (In Azer.).

Primary Paper Section: A

Secondary Paper Section: AI