

## STRATEGIC DECISION MAKING IN A HETEROGENEOUS AND UNCERTAIN BUSINESS ENVIRONMENT: INTEGRATED MODELS FOR ANALYSIS AND FORECASTING

<sup>a</sup>SVITLANA SUVOROVA, <sup>b</sup>MAKSYM PYLYPENKO,  
<sup>c</sup>ROMAN TOLPEZHNIKOV, <sup>d</sup>OLENA KOVTUN,  
<sup>e</sup>YANA TSEBRO

<sup>a</sup>*Penitentiary Academy of Ukraine, Chernihiv, Ukraine.*

<sup>b,e</sup>*Sumy National Agrarian University, Sumy, Ukraine.*

<sup>c</sup>*Mariupol State University, Kyiv, Ukraine.*

<sup>d</sup>*National University of Life and Environmental Sciences of Ukraine, Kyiv, Ukraine.*

email: <sup>a</sup>[svetlanasuvorovach@gmail.com](mailto:svetlanasuvorovach@gmail.com), <sup>b</sup>[erkins007@gmail.com](mailto:erkins007@gmail.com),  
<sup>c</sup>[tolpezhnikov.r@gmail.com](mailto:tolpezhnikov.r@gmail.com), <sup>d</sup>[ekovtoun@nubip.edu.ua](mailto:ekovtoun@nubip.edu.ua),  
<sup>e</sup>[yanatsebro@gmail.com](mailto:yanatsebro@gmail.com)

**Abstract:** This article provides a comprehensive examination of models employed in strategic decision-making within a context characterized by heterogeneity and uncertainty in the business environment. It elucidates prominent theories and methodologies utilized for the identification, analysis, and response to diverse economic, social, and technological factors influencing the market environment. The discourse encompasses the evolution in strategic decision-making paradigms, encompassing transitions towards scenario planning, the delicate equilibrium between intuitive approaches and analytical methodologies, and the organizational adaptation to internal variations. The research methodology proposed herein is grounded in a systematic approach, emphasizing analysis and synthesis. The article directs its focus towards diverse integrative analysis models that amalgamate internal and external facets of organizations, facilitating efficacious strategic decision-making. The scrutiny of models such as the Ansoff Matrix, Porter's Five Forces, McKinsey 7S Model, and BCG Growth-Share Matrix reveals that each model possesses distinct advantages and limitations contingent upon the specific conditions and requisites of the business environment in question. The author asserts that there exists no singular optimal model universally applicable to all scenarios, underscoring the imperative for flexible integration and adaptation of varied approaches. Illustratively, this may involve the amalgamation of Porter's Five Forces model with SWOT analysis, accounting for both internal and external factors, or the augmentation of the McKinsey 7S Model with external analyses, such as PESTEL analysis. The conclusions drawn in the article underscore that the achievement of success in strategic decision-making within the contemporary dynamic and unpredictable business environment hinges on organizations' capacity for flexibility, adaptability, and innovation. The escalating complexity and rapid pace of change necessitate companies to formulate comprehensive strategies that encompass various aspects and approaches for the development of effective solutions.

**Keywords:** Strategic decision-making, Integrated analysis models, Scenario planning, Business environment uncertainty, Business environment heterogeneity.

### 1 Introduction

In the contemporary business landscape, characterized by its unpredictability and constant flux, strategic decision-making demands not only precision but also a profound comprehension of the diversity and uncertainty that influence it. We inhabit an era where conventional rules have become obsolete, and new ones are yet to be formulated. It is at this historical juncture that the quest for equilibrium between risk and reward, and between stability and innovation, becomes paramount.

This article seeks to elucidate the intricacies of the contemporary business milieu through the utilization of integrated analysis and forecasting models. We delve into the effectiveness of managerial decisions when traditional analytical methods fall short of comprehending the complexity and volatility inherent in modern business.

In addressing these challenges, we advocate for a holistic approach grounded in the tenets of economic theory, statistical analysis, and strategic management. This approach is designed not only to facilitate decision-making under conditions of uncertainty but also to guide the trajectory towards innovative development and sustainable growth.

In this context, the emphasis extends beyond the analysis of the current situation to the development of strategies that enable companies not only to endure but also to prosper in an ever-changing environment. We assert that the pivotal determinants of success in today's world lie in the capacities to adapt and innovate, underscoring the continued relevance of the topic as delineated in the title.

The primary objective of the article is to undertake a theoretical analysis and comparison of diverse analysis and forecasting models employed in strategic decision-making within the framework of the uncertain and heterogeneous business environment.

### 2 Analytical literature review

The subject matter, as indicated by the title, catalyzes collaboration within the global scientific community, uniting researchers across continents in the pursuit of an optimal model for analysis and foresight in strategic decision-making. Commencing with the seminal work of Schwenk (1995), which delves into strategic decision-making, the significance of incorporating diverse facets of management and organizational behavior becomes apparent. Schwenk underscores the pivotal role of internal processes in the decision-making continuum, positing them as key determinants of the efficacy of strategic decisions. Expanding on this theme, Papadakis, Lioukas, & Chambers (1998) scrutinize the role of governance and context in strategic decisions. Their analysis underscores that strategic decision-making is intricately linked to the broader organizational context, dispelling the notion that it transpires in isolation. Subsequently, Stokman, Van Assen, Van der Knoop, & Van Oosten (2000) delve into group processes and their impact on strategic decision-making. Their approach underscores the significance of interaction and communication within organizational structures.

Moreover, Nooraie (2012) in his study directs attention to the factors that exert influence on strategic decision-making processes. This investigation is pivotal for comprehending how external and internal elements, including organizational culture and structure, shape decisions at the managerial level. Citroen (2011) underscores the significance of information in strategic decision-making, emphasizing the crucial role of both qualitative and quantitative data in formulating effective strategies. This research contributes to a nuanced understanding of the importance of analytical tools in the strategic decision-making process. Eisenhardt & Zbaracki (1992) offer a comprehensive review of strategic decision-making processes, highlighting the impact of diverse managerial and organizational factors. Their contribution lies in the identification of key elements influencing the success or failure of strategic initiatives.

In a related vein, Elbanna (2006) directs attention to the significance of comprehending strategic decision-making processes within organizations. The author accentuates the impact of diverse factors, encompassing organizational structure and culture, in configuring these processes. Bhushan & Rai (2004), in their research, examine the application of the Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) within the domain of strategic decision-making. They contend that AHP proves to be an effective tool for the evaluation and comparison of various strategic alternatives.

Kirkwood (1996), in his book, elucidates diverse methodologies and approaches to the decision-making process at the strategic level, underscoring the significance of an integrated approach. Alzoubi & Aziz (2021) delve into the impact of emotional intelligence on the quality of strategic decisions, with a specific focus on the role of open innovation as a mediator. Their findings underscore the pivotal role of the emotional component in the decision-making process, particularly within the context of heterogeneous business environments. MacDonald, Clarke, & Huang (2022) investigate multi-stakeholder partnerships for sustainable development by analyzing decision-making processes to enhance partnership potential. Their emphasis on the design of decision-making processes contributes to a deeper understanding of how various stakeholders can exert influence on strategic initiatives.

Yalcin, Kilic, & Delen (2022) perform a thorough literature review on the application of multi-criteria decision-making methods in business intelligence. This study underscores the significance of analytical methods in strategic planning, particularly within complex and heterogeneous business environments. In their review of SWOT analysis applications, Benzaghta, Elwalda, Mousa, Erkan, & Rahman (2021) provide an integrative literature review. Their findings highlight the diverse approaches and contexts in which SWOT analysis can be employed to bolster strategic decision-making.

Kou, Olgu Akdeniz, Dinçer, & Yüksel (2021) investigate investments in European banks using a hybrid IT2 fuzzy multidimensional decision-making approach. This study exemplifies the application of modern analytical methods to support intricate financial decisions. Tan, Mills, Papadonikolaki, & Liu (2021) conducted a review on the amalgamation of multi-criteria decision-making (MCDM) methods with building information modeling (BIM). Their work illustrates how the integration of different methods enhances decision-making in the construction industry. Bag, Gupta, Kumar, & Sivarajah (2021) propose an integrated artificial intelligence approach for knowledge creation and rational decision-making in B2B marketing. This approach showcases how advanced technologies can enhance the effectiveness of strategic planning in business.

Driscoll, Parnell, & Henderson, Eds. (2022), in their book, offer a comprehensive overview of decision-making processes within the context of systems engineering, emphasizing the significance of integrating technical and managerial perspectives. Luoma & Martela (2021), in their article, examine three cognitive strategies in strategic decision-making: intuition, analytical thinking, and rethinking. This approach underscores the importance of balancing diverse thinking styles for effective strategic planning. Razavi et al. (2021) focus on the future of sensitivity analysis, a key discipline for systems modeling and policy support. Their work highlights the necessity for a profound understanding of the impact of various factors on system models.

Yu, Yang, & Fan (2022) explore strategic decision-making within the context of information asymmetry, proposing effective algorithmic tools for decision support. This approach underscores the significance of leveraging advanced technologies in the realm of strategic planning.

Alzoubi & Aziz (2021) investigate the role of emotional intelligence in strategic decision-making, with a specific focus on open innovation as a mediator. Their findings underscore the significance of incorporating not only rational but also emotional aspects into the decision-making process. Settembre-Blundo, González-Sánchez, Medina-Salgado, & García-Muñia (2021) explore flexibility and resilience in corporate decision-making, proposing a novel risk management framework grounded in sustainability for uncertain times. This research is instrumental in comprehending how organizations can adapt to rapidly changing conditions. Kochenderfer, Wheeler, & Wray (2022), in their book, provide a detailed overview of algorithms and technologies applicable to the decision-making process. Their approach highlights the importance of integrating technological innovation into strategic planning.

Awan, Shamim, Khan, Zia, Shariq, & Khan (2021), in their study, scrutinize the impact of big data and analytical capabilities on decision-making, with a specific focus on enhancing productivity within the context of a circular economy. This investigation underscores the importance of utilizing data for informed decision-making and its consequential impact on economic productivity. MacDonald, Clarke, & Huang (2022) investigate multi-stakeholder partnerships for sustainability, emphasizing the design of decision-making processes to bolster partnership capacity. This work illustrates the critical nature of designing strategic decision-making processes to achieve sustainability within intricate inter-organizational contexts. Naqvi, Soomro, Alzoubi, Ghazal, & Alshurideh (2021), in their study, explore the influence of big data on the decision-making

process. This research underscores the role of technology in enhancing decision-making processes, particularly in ensuring the accuracy and validity of strategic choices.

Niu, Ying, Yang, Bao, & Sivaparthipan (2021) analyze the utilization of big data for organizational business intelligence and decision-making. Their study illustrates how big data processing can enhance the quality of information for strategic planning. Monino (2021) focuses on the value of data, particularly big data, and its analysis in the context of decision-making. This work underscores the escalating role of data as a strategic asset in contemporary business. Diaz, Schöggel, Reyes, & Baumgartner (2021) explore the sustainability of products within the framework of a circular economy, with a specific focus on the impact of these factors on actors, decision support, and life cycle information management.

Wang, Nguyen, Dang, & Lu (2021) employ a trade-off approach to decision-making for third-party selection in logistics within the framework of a sustainable supply chain, utilizing fuzzy Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) and VIKOR methods. Lawrence & Klimberg, Eds. (2021) provide an overview of cutting-edge research in forecasting within the domains of business and management. Lehmann (2023) examines the predictive power of the IFO business climate surveys, analyzing their impact on economic cycle research. His work is significant for comprehending how economic indicators can be utilized to support strategic planning and decision-making.

Jha & Pande (2021), in their study, present a methodology for sales forecasting, which holds significance for planning and strategic management in the retail sector. Koot & Wijnhoven (2021), in their work, analyze the impact of utilization on data center electricity consumption by developing a system-dynamic forecasting model. This study underscores the necessity of considering information technology in strategic management and resource planning.

Delle Monache, De Polis, & Petrella (2023), in their paper "Modeling and Forecasting Macroeconomic Downside Risk," explore quantitative analysis techniques for assessing risks in the macroeconomic environment. This research is crucial for comprehending how risk models can be employed to support strategic decision-making.

Barbaglia, Consoli, & Manzan (2023) investigate the impact of media on forecasting economic indicators, showcasing how news analysis can be incorporated into economic models to enhance forecast accuracy. Menculini, Marini, Proietti, Garinei, Bozza, Moretti, & Marconi (2021) compare the Prophet model and deep learning with ARIMA in the context of price forecasting for the wholesale food market. This study is significant for comprehending the advantages and limitations of different forecasting methods within a specific sector.

Gonçalves, Cortez, Carvalho, & Frazao (2021) adopt a multivariate approach for demand forecasting in multi-stage production lines, particularly within the automotive supply chain. Their approach illustrates the importance of integrating diverse data and methodologies for accurate forecasting in complex industrial systems.

Climent & Haftor (2021) undertake an empirical assessment of the utilization of digital technologies in business. This study underscores the escalating role of technology in the contemporary business environment and its consequential impact on strategic planning.

Taken collectively, these studies illustrate the diversity of approaches to strategic decision-making, spanning from traditional economic theories to the latest technological innovations. They highlight the importance of employing various methods and tools for effective management in a swiftly changing and unpredictable business environment.

### 3 Methodology

The research methodology is founded upon three fundamental methods: systemic, analysis, and synthesis. The systemic method will enable the consideration of each model within a broader strategic management system. This involves assessing how different elements of the models interact both internally and with other aspects of strategic planning. The systems analysis encompasses an evaluation of the impact of external and internal factors on the effectiveness of these models. The analytical approach will be applied to each model, discerning its key components, operational principles, and areas of application. This analysis aims to comprehend the strengths and weaknesses of each model and assess their suitability for different types of business environments. Through synthesis, the information will be integrated to form a cohesive understanding of how diverse models can contribute to effective strategic decision-making within an environment characterized by uncertainty and heterogeneity. The synthesis process will facilitate a comparative analysis among the models, determining their relative effectiveness in various business environment scenarios. This comprehensive approach will enable the formulation of recommendations for the optimal use of these models under specific conditions, accounting for their potential advantages and limitations.

### 4 Results

The global economy is undeniably witnessing an escalation in complexity, a multifaceted trend attributed to various factors. Foremost among these factors is globalization, which has fundamentally transformed the dynamics of economic interactions, fostering heightened interdependence among nations. Formerly isolated markets are now integral components of a global system, resulting in intricate international relationships. This heightened interdependence signifies that economic changes in one country possess the potential to exert a substantial impact on other nations, occasionally in unforeseeable manners.

Furthermore, the financial sector is undergoing a discernible rise in complexity. The introduction of novel financial instruments, including derivatives and currency swaps, has rendered the comprehension and management of risk more intricate. These innovations amplify the potential for unforeseen interconnections and risks that may only manifest when they evolve into issues. The intricate nature of financial products and models also renders regulation and supervision more challenging, particularly in the face of swift technological advancements.

In light of these considerations, it can be asserted that the global economy is not solely becoming more complex but also necessitates innovative approaches to comprehend and manage economic processes. Such complexity demands in-depth analysis and adaptable strategies to navigate swiftly changing conditions. Additionally, identifying optimal models of strategic decision-making becomes crucial in this environment of uncertainty and dynamism, requiring a specialized approach to planning and responding to evolving conditions.

Strategic decision-making in a heterogeneous and uncertain business environment can be conceptualized as the theory of identifying, analyzing, and responding to the diverse economic, social, and technological factors that shape the market environment. Within this framework, strategic decisions should be grounded in a comprehensive understanding of both macroeconomic and microeconomic trends, coupled with the capability to anticipate potential scenarios. This process encompasses not only traditional trend analysis and detailed forecasting but also a readiness to embrace uncertainty as an inherent element of strategic planning. Companies adept at navigating this environment prioritize crucial uncertainties, formulate diverse scenarios that may unfold, and pinpoint pivotal indicators signaling changes in direction. Planning becomes a cyclical process of "execution, monitoring, and

adaptation," dynamically steering the company toward improved opportunities in the future. In the contemporary uncertain business environment, strategic decision-making must be adaptable to new challenges. The economy is dynamic and evolves, necessitating managerial flexibility in devising strategies.

Primarily, it is imperative to dispel the illusion of absolute accuracy in forecasting and acknowledge the significance of scenario planning. This involves crafting diverse scenarios and strategic plans that facilitate prompt responses to evolving conditions. Consequently, companies should not center their attention on a singular path of events but rather cultivate several strategies, each tailored to address a specific scenario.

An additional critical aspect involves integrating intuition and emotional perception alongside analytical methods in the decision-making process. This amalgamation aids managers in more comprehensively assessing the situation and making decisions that effectively address the challenges posed by uncertainty.

Finally, attention should be given to the internal dialogue within companies. Disparities of opinion among managers in various departments can foster the development of new strategic approaches and ideas. It is crucial to acknowledge that each manager's distinctive perspective can enhance the comprehensive understanding of the situation and assist the company in adapting to changes.

In an environment characterized by uncertainty and continual change, strategic decision-making necessitates not only profound analysis but also the capacity to adapt swiftly through a range of approaches and strategies. The principal transformations in strategic decision-making within an uncertain business environment include the following:

- *Transition to scenario planning:* This shift is founded on the premise that the inability to accurately predict the future underscores the importance of developing diverse scenarios. Consequently, companies are required to formulate plans enabling rapid responses to changing conditions, aligned with various potential future scenarios. This may involve the creation of strategic "playbooks" that encompass a commitment to a particular direction while maintaining flexibility to adapt to different future scenarios.
- *Balance between intuition and analytics:* In the contemporary business landscape, it is crucial to employ not only analytical methods but also intuitive approaches in decision-making. This integration assists managers in more comprehensively assessing the situation and making decisions that effectively address the challenges posed by uncertainty.
- *Understanding and adapting to internal differences:* Recognizing and leveraging the diversity of perspectives within the organization is of paramount importance. Varied viewpoints among managers can contribute to the development of novel strategic approaches and ideas, thereby aiding the company in adapting to changes.

These adjustments to strategic decision-making underscore the imperative of cultivating more flexible, adaptive, and innovative integrative models. These models are designed to address the swift changes and unpredictability inherent in the modern business environment.

Integrative models of strategic decision-making analysis can be characterized as comprehensive approaches that amalgamate various facets of an organization and its external environment to facilitate effective strategic decisions. These models incorporate diverse perspectives, encompassing internal resources, market conditions, competitive advantages, corporate culture, and other pivotal factors influencing strategic decisions. By integrating these multifaceted elements, these models enable managers to holistically assess the situation and ascertain the optimal directions for the organization's development.

One of the pioneering models in this category is the Ansoff matrix, widely acknowledged and utilized in business for over sixty years. It serves as a pivotal tool for strategic planning, enabling companies to adapt to dynamic market conditions.

The Ansoff matrix, developed by Igor Ansoff in 1957, serves as a tool for identifying potential areas of growth for a company based on combinations of new or existing products and markets. It enables systematic evaluation of various development strategies, ranging from increasing sales in existing markets to developing new products or entering new markets. The Ansoff Model facilitates informed strategic decision-making by providing a balanced approach to seizing opportunities and managing risks. With a focus on identifying growth and diversification potential, the model becomes particularly valuable in today's dynamic business environment. Utilizing the Ansoff model empowers companies to formulate strategies that consider changes in consumer needs, the competitive landscape, and technological trends, thereby contributing to their long-term success and sustainability.

In this context, it is pertinent to focus on Michael Porter's model of competition, given its profound insights into the dynamics of market forces and their implications for strategic business planning. Porter's Five Forces model elucidates the critical aspects influencing competitiveness within an industry and delineates the market structure.

Firstly, the threat of new competitors entering the market is a crucial consideration. This factor assesses the ease with which new players can penetrate the market, considering existing barriers such as scale, access to distribution channels, or proprietary technologies. Secondly, the threat of substitutable products or services is a significant force. This aspect examines how readily a company's products or services can be substituted by alternative solutions, impacting the company's ability to retain its customer base. Thirdly, the strength of suppliers is a pivotal factor. This pertains to the extent to which suppliers wield power over companies in the industry, potentially due to resource costs or the uniqueness of materials. Fourthly, customer power is a vital consideration. This factor evaluates the influence of customers on pricing and sales terms, contingent upon factors such as the number of customers, their significance, available alternatives, and their price sensitivity. Lastly, competition among existing firms is a critical dimension. This reflects the intensity of competition within the industry, encompassing elements such as price wars, advertising campaigns, and the introduction of new products.

It is crucial to emphasize that comprehending these forces is foundational for making effective strategic decisions. These forces not only influence the attractiveness of an industry but also indicate potential areas for innovation and change, a critical consideration for long-term success in an ever-evolving economy. Companies possessing a profound understanding of these dynamic forces are better equipped to adapt and thrive in a fiercely competitive business environment.

Let us examine the McKinsey 7S model, a subject of interest for economists and marketers alike due to its significance in ensuring strategic flexibility and efficiency in organizational management. Developed by McKinsey consultants, this model comprises seven key components that require balance and integration for successful strategy implementation. The fundamental concept is that an organization's effectiveness hinges significantly on the interplay among its structure, strategy, systems, shared values, people, skills, and management style. Each of these elements plays a pivotal role in shaping the organization's overall performance and culture, influencing its ability to achieve strategic goals and adapt to change. Specifically, the organization's strategy should encompass both its long-term goals and the specific methods for attaining them. The organizational structure should reinforce these strategic directions by ensuring a clear division of roles and responsibilities. Management systems ought to be designed to support the organization's strategic and performance objectives

while overseeing and assessing its activities. The foundational shared values of the organization should permeate every aspect of its operation. The alignment of the organization's staff and skills with its strategic needs is crucial, and the management style should foster a culture of openness and innovation.

Lastly, let's delve into the Boston Consulting Group (BCG) Growth-Share Matrix, commonly referred to as the BCG matrix. This matrix serves as a tool for strategic planning analysis and the management of a company's portfolio of business units or products.

The BCG matrix categorizes products or business units based on two parameters: market share and market growth rate. The identified categories are as follows:

- *Stars*: These are products or business units holding a high market share in rapidly growing industries. While maintaining leadership requires substantial investment, they have the potential to generate substantial revenues.
- *Cash Cows*: Represented by business units or products with a high market share in mature, slow-growing industries. This category ensures stable revenues and significant cash flow, which can be directed toward investments in other business units or the development of new products.
- *Question Marks*: These encompass products or business units with a low market share in fast-growing industries. While indicative of potential, they necessitate substantial investment to augment their market share.
- *Dogs*: Business units with a low market share in slow-growing industries fall into this category. Often not generating significant revenue, these units may be considered for sale or liquidation.

This strategic decision-making approach is crucial for resource optimization and leveraging opportunities to foster growth and realize the organization's long-term objectives. Portfolio management based on this model enables companies to allocate resources more effectively, concentrating on the most promising opportunities while mitigating risks. The utilization of a growth-share matrix aids companies in discerning the business units requiring investment and those that should be discontinued or repurposed, enhancing overall efficiency and profitability. This model assumes heightened significance in today's dynamic business environment, where companies continually seek ways to adapt to swiftly changing conditions and delineate optimal growth strategies (Savytska et al., 2021).

Each of these models possesses distinctive strengths and limitations, rendering them more or less suitable based on the specific conditions and requirements of the business environment. For instance, the Ansoff Model proves effective in identifying growth opportunities but may exhibit reduced utility in conditions characterized by high market uncertainty, where predicting reactions to new products or market entry becomes challenging. Porter's Five Forces model holds significance for analyzing the competitive environment but may neglect internal organizational aspects, such as corporate culture or internal processes. McKinsey 7S model offers a comprehensive view of an organization's internal structure but may prove insufficient for analyzing the external market environment. Lastly, the BCG matrix serves well in portfolio analysis and investment decisions but may overlook external market changes, such as emerging technologies or shifts in consumer preferences.

In a profoundly heterogeneous and uncertain business environment, models offering a thorough analysis of external conditions while incorporating internal factors are more likely to yield success. For instance, Porter's Five Forces model proves valuable in comprehending external competitive threats and opportunities. However, as a comprehensive strategic analysis, it can be synergistically combined with tools like SWOT analysis, thus incorporating the internal strengths and weaknesses of the organization. Conversely, the McKinsey 7S model provides an in-depth internal analysis of the organization but can be enhanced with external analysis, such as PESTEL analysis, to

attain a comprehensive understanding of the strategic context. Ultimately, the selection and effective utilization of these models hinge on the specific needs and context of the organization.

## 5 Discussion

Within the framework of scrutinizing strategic decision-making in the contemporary business environment, numerous discussions ensue, encompassing diverse facets of the utilization of strategic decision-making models.

A pivotal consideration in the discourse surrounding strategic decision-making revolves around the selection between models emphasizing external factors, such as the competitive environment (e.g., Porter's Five Forces model), and models concentrating on internal facets of the organization (e.g., McKinsey 7S model). On one hand, proponents advocate for the adoption of externally oriented models in a dynamic market environment, facilitating adaptive responses to changing conditions and capitalization on growth opportunities. Conversely, internally oriented models enable organizations to concentrate on resource and process optimization, thereby playing a crucial role in fortifying organizational structure and fostering a robust corporate culture.

A subject of ongoing discussion pertains to the selection between overarching strategic models and specialized approaches tailored to specific industries or market conditions. Comprehensive models, like the growth-share matrix or the Ansoff matrix, offer a sweeping perspective on strategic options and find application in diverse contexts. Nevertheless, critics contend that these models may be overly generalized, neglecting the nuances of particular markets or industries.

It is crucial to recognize that there is no universal strategic model suitable for all companies or situations. Effective strategic planning necessitates a comprehensive examination of numerous factors, encompassing industry particulars, market dynamics, corporate culture, and internal resources.

## 6 Conclusions

Strategic decision-making in a heterogeneous and uncertain business environment is a theoretical framework aimed at identifying, analyzing, and responding to the diverse economic, social, and technological factors influencing the market environment.

The primary transformations in strategic decision-making within an uncertain business environment include the following: a shift towards scenario planning attributed to the challenge of accurately predicting the future; a balance between intuition and analytics; and recognition and adaptation to internal differences to harness the diversity of views within the organization. These alterations in strategic decision-making underscore the necessity to formulate more flexible, adaptive, and innovative integrative models to address the swift changes and unpredictability characteristic of the contemporary business environment.

Integrative models of strategic decision-making analysis can be characterized as comprehensive methodologies that amalgamate diverse facets of an organization and its external environment to facilitate the formulation of effective strategic decisions.

Based on the findings derived from the analysis of the Ansoff Matrix, Porter's Five Forces model, McKinsey 7S model, and BCG's Growth-Share Matrix, it is evident that each of these models presents unique advantages and limitations. Consequently, their suitability varies depending on the specific conditions and requirements of the business environment. Specifically, while the Ansoff model proves effective in identifying growth opportunities, its utility may diminish in conditions of high market uncertainty, where predicting reactions to new products or entry into new markets becomes challenging. Porter's Five Forces model is significant for analyzing the competitive environment but may lack

consideration for internal aspects like corporate culture or internal processes. McKinsey 7S model offers a comprehensive view of an organization's internal structure but may fall short in analyzing the external market environment. Finally, BCG's Growth-Share Matrix serves well for portfolio analysis and investment decisions but might not account for external market changes such as new technologies or shifts in consumer preferences.

These observations lead to the conclusion that there is no single optimal model for analyzing and forecasting strategic decision-making in a heterogeneous and uncertain business environment. To address this complexity, combining the analyzed models with other tools becomes a partial solution. For instance, Porter's Five Forces model is valuable in comprehending external competitive threats and opportunities. However, as a comprehensive strategic analysis, it can be integrated with tools such as SWOT analysis, ensuring the inclusion of internal strengths and weaknesses of the organization. On the contrary, the McKinsey 7S model furnishes an in-depth internal analysis of an organization, but to achieve a thorough understanding of the strategic context, it can be complemented with external analyses like PESTEL analysis.

From our perspective, the most effective approach in a heterogeneous and uncertain business environment is to flexibly integrate different strategic models. This approach enables companies to leverage the advantages of each model, tailoring them to their specific needs and circumstances. Success lies in the capability to assess changes in the market environment and adjust strategic plans flexibly in response to these changes.

It is imperative to delve deeper into the impact of global economic, political, social, and environmental trends on strategic decision-making. Hence, future research could focus on how companies can adeptly adapt to such trends and utilize them to discern new strategic directions.

## Literature:

1. Alzoubi, H. M., & Aziz, R.: Does emotional intelligence contribute to quality of strategic decisions? The mediating role of open innovation. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity*, 2021, 7(2), 130.
2. Awan, U., Shamim, S., Khan, Z., Zia, N. U., Shariq, S. M., & Khan, M. N.: Big data analytics capability and decision-making: The role of data-driven insight on circular economy performance. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 2021, 168, 120766.
3. Bag, S., Gupta, S., Kumar, A., & Sivarajah, U.: An integrated artificial intelligence framework for knowledge creation and B2B marketing rational decision making for improving firm performance. *Industrial marketing management*, 2021, 92, 178-189.
4. Barbaglia, L., Consoli, S., & Manzan, S.: Forecasting with economic news. *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics*, 2023, 41(3), 708-719.
5. Benzaghta, M. A., Elwalda, A., Mousa, M. M., Erkan, I., & Rahman, M.: SWOT analysis applications: An integrative literature review. *Journal of Global Business Insights*, 2021, 6(1), 55-73.
6. Bhushan, N., & Rai, K.: Strategic decision-making. In *Strategic Decision Making: Applying the Analytic Hierarchy Process*, 2004, 3-10. London: Springer London.
7. Bhushan, N., & Rai, K.: Strategic decision-making. In *Strategic Decision Making: Applying the Analytic Hierarchy Process*, 2004, 3-10. London: Springer London.
8. Citroen, C. L.: The role of information in strategic decision-making. *International journal of information management*, 2011, 31(6), 493-501.
9. Climent, R. C., & Haftor, D. M.: Business model theory-based prediction of digital technology use: An empirical assessment. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 2021, 173, 121174.
10. Delle Monache, D., De Polis, A., & Petrella, I.: Modeling and forecasting macroeconomic downside risk. *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics*, 2023, 1-27.

11. Diaz, A., Schöggel, J. P., Reyes, T., & Baumgartner, R. J.: Sustainable product development in a circular economy: Implications for products, actors, decision-making support and lifecycle information management. *Sustainable Production and Consumption*, 2021, 26, 1031-1045.
12. Driscoll, P. J., Parnell, G. S., & Henderson, D. L.: *Decision making in systems engineering and management*. John Wiley & Sons, 2022.
13. Eisenhardt, K. M., & Zbaracki, M. J.: Strategic decision making. *Strategic management journal*, 1992, 13(S2), 17-37.
14. Elbanna, S.: Strategic decision-making: Process perspectives. *International Journal of Management reviews*, 2006, 8(1), 1-20.
15. Gonçalves, J. N., Cortez, P., Carvalho, M. S., & Frazao, N. M.: A multivariate approach for multi-step demand forecasting in assembly industries: Empirical evidence from an automotive supply chain. *Decision Support Systems*, 2021, 142, 113452.
16. Jha, B. K., & Pande, S.: Time series forecasting model for supermarket sales using FB-prophet. In *2021 5th International Conference on Computing Methodologies and Communication (ICCMC)*, 2021, 547-554. IEEE.
17. Kirkwood, C. W.: *Strategic decision making*. Wadsworth Publ. Co., 1996.
18. Kochenderfer, M. J., Wheeler, T. A., & Wray, K. H.: *Algorithms for decision making*. MIT press, 2022.
19. Koot, M., & Wijnhoven, F.: Usage impact on data center electricity needs: A system dynamic forecasting model. *Applied Energy*, 2021, 291, 116798.
20. Kou, G., Olgu Akdeniz, Ö., Dinger, H., & Yüksel, S.: Fintech investments in European banks: a hybrid IT2 fuzzy multidimensional decision-making approach. *Financial innovation*, 2021, 7(1), 39.
21. Lawrence, K. D., & Klimberg, R. K.: *Advances in business and management forecasting*. Emerald Publishing Limited, 2021.
22. Lehmann, R.: The forecasting power of the ifo business survey. *Journal of Business Cycle Research*, 2023, 19(1), 43-94.
23. Luoma, J., & Martela, F.: A dual-processing view of three cognitive strategies in strategic decision making: Intuition, analytic reasoning, and reframing. *Long Range Planning*, 2021, 54(3), 102065.
24. MacDonald, A., Clarke, A., & Huang, L.: Multi-stakeholder partnerships for sustainability: Designing decision-making processes for partnership capacity. In *Business and the ethical implications of technology* (pp. 103-120). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland, 2022.
25. MacDonald, A., Clarke, A., & Huang, L.: Multi-stakeholder partnerships for sustainability: Designing decision-making processes for partnership capacity. In *Business and the ethical implications of technology* (pp. 103-120). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland, 2022.
26. Menculini, L., Marini, A., Proietti, M., Garinei, A., Bozza, A., Moretti, C., & Marconi, M.: Comparing prophet and deep learning to ARIMA in forecasting wholesale food prices. *Forecasting*, 2021, 3(3), 644-662.
27. Monino, J. L.: Data value, big data analytics, and decision-making. *Journal of the Knowledge Economy*, 2021, 12, 256-267.
28. Naqvi, R., Soomro, T. R., Alzoubi, H. M., Ghazal, T. M., & Alshurideh, M. T.: The nexus between big data and decision-making: A study of big data techniques and technologies. In *The International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Computer Vision*, 2021, 838-853. Cham: Springer International Publishing.
29. Niu, Y., Ying, L., Yang, J., Bao, M., & Sivaparthipan, C. B.: Organizational business intelligence and decision making using big data analytics. *Information Processing & Management*, 2021, 58(6), 102725.
30. Nooraie, M.: Factors influencing strategic decision-making processes. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 2012, 2(7), 405.
31. Papadakis, V. M., Lioukas, S., & Chambers, D.: Strategic decision-making processes: the role of management and context. *Strategic management journal*, 1998, 19(2), 115-147.
32. Razavi, S., Jakeman, A., Saltelli, A., Prieur, C., Iooss, B., Borgonovo, E., ... & Maier, H. R.: The future of sensitivity analysis: An essential discipline for systems modeling and policy support. *Environmental Modelling & Software*, 2021, 137, 104954.
33. Savvytska N., Kashchena N., Chmil H., Muda I., Olinichenko K.: Entrepreneurial characteristics as factors of human development. *International Journal of Entrepreneurship*, 2021, 25(6), 1-9. <https://www.abacademies.org/articles/entrepreneurial-characteristics-as-factors-of-human-development.pdf>
34. Schwenk, C. R.: Strategic decision making. *Journal of management*, 1995, 21(3), 471-493.
35. Settembre-Blundo, D., González-Sánchez, R., Medina-Salgado, S., & García-Muiña, F. E.: Flexibility and resilience in corporate decision making: a new sustainability-based risk management system in uncertain times. *Global Journal of Flexible Systems Management*, 2021, 22(Suppl 2), 107-132.
36. Stokman, F. N., Van Assen, M. A., Van der Knoop, J., & Van Oosten, R. C.: Strategic decision making. In *Advances in Group processes*, 2000, 131-153. Emerald Group Publishing Limited.
37. Tan, T., Mills, G., Papadonikolaki, E., & Liu, Z.: Combining multi-criteria decision making (MCDM) methods with building information modelling (BIM): A review. *Automation in Construction*, 2021, 121, 103451.
38. Wang, C. N., Nguyen, N. A. T., Dang, T. T., & Lu, C. M.: A compromised decision-making approach to third-party logistics selection in sustainable supply chain using fuzzy AHP and fuzzy VIKOR methods. *Mathematics*, 2021, 9(8), 886.
39. Yalcin, A. S., Kilic, H. S., & Delen, D.: The use of multi-criteria decision-making methods in business analytics: A comprehensive literature review. *Technological forecasting and social change*, 2022, 174, 121193.
40. Yu, M., Yang, Z., & Fan, J.: Strategic decision-making in the presence of information asymmetry: Provably efficient rl with algorithmic instruments, 2022, *arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.11040*.

#### Primary Paper Section: A

#### Secondary Paper Section: AE, AH